

INSTRUCTIONS: Enter a number between the minimum and maximum of the range in the salmon colored box in column C for each (e.g. 0,1,2,3,4 or 5 if the range is 0-5). Comments after each score are optional. These scores automatically sum in row 69 for the total points out of 100. Reviewer recommendation to fund/not fund required to be entered in Row 70.

| | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| <<ORGANIZATION AND PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME>> | | |
| Total Request \$: <<>> | | |
| Opportunity/Community Need: 25 points | | |
| How well did the applicant provide current local statistics, agency info, or other evidence to document the need for proposed services within the Verdant service area? | | |
| 0 | Did not provide evidence | |
| 1-5 | Some evidence provided but there was not a compelling case that the program will strongly impact service area | |
| 6-10 | Clear detailed description of need and compelling case that program/project would serve Verdant service area specifically | |
| SCORE (enter in column C) | | |
| Comments (optional): | | |
| How well did the applicant demonstrate connection between the proposed program and one or more of Verdant's Priority Areas? | | |
| 0 | Does not address a Verdant priority | |
| 1-7 | Somewhat addresses a Verdant Priority but connection is indirect/unclear | |
| 8-15 | Clear and direct connection to a Verdant Priority | |
| SCORE (enter in column C) | | |
| Comments (optional): | | |
| Community Impact: 40 points | | |
| How well did the proposal demonstrate the target population to be served with clear focus on residents of Verdant's service area and explain their outreach strategies? | | |
| 0 | Did not mention target population and outreach strategies and/or does not clearly represent Verdant service area residents | |
| 1-5 | General description of target population and outreach strategies; program will serve service area residents, but unclear how many and if impactful | |
| 6-10 | Clear detailed description of the target population including clear number to be served within the Verdant service area and the planned outreach strategies | |
| SCORE (enter in column C) | | |
| Comments (optional): | | |
| How well did the application describe how the population(s) intended to be served will be/were involved in program development, delivery, and evaluation? | | |
| 0 | Did not mention or mentioned without specificity | |
| 1-5 | Vague mention of how population(s) to be served would be involved, but not clear that the plan was comprehensive or achievable | |
| 6-10 | Clearly stated, inclusive plan to involve population(s) to be served and how | |
| SCORE (enter in column C) | | |
| Comments (optional): | | |
| How well did the application describe how program/project and organization staff are reflective of population(s) to be served? | | |
| 0 | Did not mention or mentioned without specificity | |
| 1-5 | Vague mention of how staff reflect population(s) to be served, but insufficient or unclear | |
| 6-10 | Clearly stated/demonstrated how staff reflect population(s) to be served | |
| SCORE (enter in column C) | | |
| Comments (optional): | | |
| How well did the application describe other similar services, collaboration with other agencies and organizations and clearly articulate how this project is distinct | | |
| 0 | Did not mention collaboration and/or similar services | |
| 1-3 | Mentioned collaboration and similar services but details were weak or unclear | |
| 4-5 | Clearly collaborates with other agencies/organizations and individual roles/responsibilities are clear | |
| SCORE (enter in column C) | | |
| Comments (optional): | | |
| Desired Outcomes: 15 points | | |
| How well did the application clearly describe the desired outcomes and how they would be measured and reported? (15 points) | | |
| 0 | Did not mention or not clear that program/project can accomplish the stated outcomes | |
| 1-7 | Some outcomes were specified and were somewhat clear that the proposed program/project could accomplish them | |
| 8-15 | Clearly stated measurable outcomes and clear that the proposed program/project can accomplish them | |
| SCORE (enter in column C) | | |

| | | |
|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| | Comments (optional): | |
| | Implementation: 20 points | |
| | How well did the applicant clearly identify key partners who will support this work and their roles? | |
| 0 | Did not mention or mentioned that there are partner organizations but did not specify them | |
| 1-5 | Identified other organizations but unclear how they will partner | |
| 6-10 | Identified other organizations and clearly specified how the partnership will work | |
| | SCORE (enter in column C) | |
| | Comments (optional): | |
| | How well does the applicant display the needed organizational capacity necessary to implement the proposed program? | |
| 0 | No evidence that organization has capacity to implement program (e.g. lacks staff capacity, poor performance on prior Verdant agreements with no clear organizational changes to mitigate) | |
| 1-3 | Organization has minimum capacity to implement proposed program/project | |
| 4-5 | Organization has strong capacity to implement program/project | |
| | SCORE (enter in column C) | |
| | Comments (optional): | |
| | To what extent was submitted budget appropriate and complete including other funding sources? | |
| 0 | Budget is unreasonable and/or inconsistent with proposed program | |
| 1-3 | Budget is reasonable but no mention/unclear mention of other funding sources | |
| 4-5 | Budget is reasonable with clear indication of other funding sources (or N/A if not applicable) and clearly articulated use of funds | |
| | SCORE (enter in column C) | |
| | Comments (optional): | |
| | TOTAL SCORE OUT OF 100 | 0 |
| | Required Reviewer Recommendation (Fund or Not Fund): | |